

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/470,489	06/06/95	MONTAGNIER	_	2356.0014-09

HM21/0922

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315

FXAMINER	
EVVIAILIATI	
PARKIN.I	

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

09/22/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





08/470,489 Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1648

Montagnier et al.

TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) a expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>24 Aug 1998</u> has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: concerning allowable subject matter.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendments to the claim language fails to obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims
X	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendments to the claim language fails to obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as clearly set forth in paper no. 19. Applicants have not provided sufficient limitations pertaining to the hybridization
×	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendments to the claim language fails to obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as clearly set forth in paper no. 19. Applicants have not provided sufficient limitations pertaining to the hybridization The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
×	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendments to the claim language fails to obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as clearly set forth in paper no. 19. Applicants have not provided sufficient limitations pertaining to the hybridization The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:
×	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' amendments to the claim language fails to obviate the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as clearly set forth in paper no. 19. Applicants have not provided sufficient limitations pertaining to the hybridization The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
X	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Mewly proposed or amended claims
X	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims
X	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims